GPO PRICE CESTI PRICE(S)	\$) \$		
Hard copy (F Microfiche (F frates dely 65	MF)		SA
	N66	24931	
	PACILITY FORM	(PAGES)	(CODE)

TUNGSTEN RESONANCE INTEGRALS AND DOPPLER COEFFICIENTS

THIRD QUARTERLY REPORT

JANUARY - MARCH 1966

Prepared for

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

LEWIS RESEARCH CENTER

Under Contract No. NAS3-7982



ATOMICS INTERNATIONAL

A DIVISION OF NORTH AMERICAN AVIATION, INC.

NOTICES

This report was prepared as an account of Government-sponsored work. Neither the United States nor the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), nor any person acting on behalf of NASA:

- A) Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately-owned rights; or
- B) Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damage resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method or process disclosed in this report.

As used above, "person acting on behalf of NASA" includes any employee or contractor of NASA, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of NASA or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with NASA, or his employment with such contractor.

AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Qualified requestors may obtain copies of this report from:

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Office of Scientific and Technical Information Washington 25, D.C. Attn: AFSS-A

TUNGSTEN RESONANCE INTEGRALS AND DOPPLER COEFFICIENTS THIRD QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT (JANUARY – MARCH 1966)

By

S. G. CARPENTER

L. B. LEVITT

J. M. OTTER

R. K. PASCHALL

H. N. ROYDEN

Prepared for
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Lewis Research Center

Project Management

NASA - Lewis Research Center

Nuclear Reactor Division

R. E. Sullivan

ATOMICS INTERNATIONAL

A DIVISION OF NORTH AMERICAN AVIATION, INC.

CONTRACT: NAS3-7982 ISSUED: MAY13, 1966

CONTENTS

		Page					
I.	Project Objectives	3					
II.	Summary and Evaluation	4					
III.	,						
	A. Theory	. 5					
	1. Neutron Spectrum						
	2. Calculated Reactivities and Doppler Coefficients						
	3. Monte Carlo Calculations						
	B. Experiment						
	1. Activation Measurements						
	2. Reactivity Measurements						
	C. Related Projects						
	1. Advanced Doppler Coefficients						
	2. Fast-Spectrum Doppler Measurements						
T 3 7							
IV.	Activities During Next Report Period	. 13					
Ref	erences	. 14					
	TABLES						
1.	Calculated SGR-CA Neutron Spectra	. 5					
2.	Sample Reactivities at Room Temperature in Cadmium Sleeve	. 7					
3.	Doppler Coefficients of Standard-Size Tungsten Samples at 745°K	. 7					
4.	Effective Resonance Integral of Thick Gold Sample	. 8					
5.	Reactivity Worths of Gold Samples in Cadmium Sleeve	. 10					

I. PROJECT OBJECTIVES

The objective of this project is to carry out an integrated experimental and analytical study of resonance integrals and Doppler coefficients of various samples of natural tungsten and separated tungsten isotopes.

Measurements of resonance integrals and Doppler coefficients are made in the 10.6-in. lattice of the Sodium Graphite Reactor Critical Assembly (SGR-CA), in which the flux spectrum in the resonance region is approximately 1/E. Both reactivity and activation methods are used; the reactivity oscillator is calibrated with the known resonance integral of gold; in addition, the resonance integral of W^{186} is being measured over a limited temperature range by the activation technique.

Resonance integrals and Doppler coefficients for each sample are calculated with TRIX-1, a fast-running resonance-integral code. Other methods, such as the RIFF-RAFF code and Monte Carlo calculations, are used as a check and to investigate some possible problem areas.

II. SUMMARY AND EVALUATION

The final 15-group calculation of parameters of the 10.6-in. SGR-CA lattice has been completed. Multigroup effective cross sections have been calculated for the actual isotopic compositions of the W 182 and W 186 samples. From these (and previous) cross sections and the multigroup real and adjoint fluxes, expected reactivities have been computed for samples of natural tungsten, W 182, W 186, and gold. Doppler coefficients have been calculated for the three tungsten samples. Agreement of these quantities with experiment is as good as could be expected for absolute reactivity calculations; however, measured reactivity ratios among the three samples are significantly different from calculated ratios.

An existing Monte Carlo resonance-escape code has been modified so that only those neutron histories resulting in passage through the sample are followed. This relatively minor modification has greatly increased the efficiency of the code.

Activation measurements of the W 186 resonance integral have been completed at two temperatures, 293 and 473°K.

Reactivity worths and Doppler coefficients of samples enriched in W ¹⁸² and in W ¹⁸⁶ have been measured in the cadmium sleeve at the center of the SGR-CA. Doppler measurements were made at several temperatures from room temperature to above 1000°K.

Reactivities of gold samples with widely varying surface-to-mass ratio have been measured to allow absolute calibration of the reactivity measurements.

Development of high-temperature ovens on a related AEC-sponsored project is being accelerated to allow completion of NASA high-temperature measurements within the contract period.

III. PROGRESS DURING REPORT PERIOD

A. THEORY

1. Neutron Spectrum

The final 15-group calculation of parameters of the 10.6-in. SGR-CA lattice has been completed. The most important results of this calculation are the real and adjoint neutron-flux spectra in the 1.33-in.-diameter central void with and without the surrounding 0.031-in.-thick cadmium sleeve (Table 1). The

TABLE 1
CALCULATED SGR-CA NEUTRON SPECTRA

Group	Upper Energy	No Cadmit	ım Sleeve	Cadmium Sleeve	
No.	Limit	Real Flux*†	Adjoint Flux*	Real Flux*†	Adjoint Flux*
1	10.0 Mev	0.0732	0.8453	0.0723	1.0850
2	3.0 Mev	0.6784	0.8335	0.6675	1.0528
3	1.4 Mev	0.8031	0.8494	0.7914	1.0453
4	0.9 Mev	1.1908	0.8672	1.1811	1.0513
5	0.4 Mev	0.7930	0.8942	0.7890	1.0568
6	0.1 Mev	0.8580	0.9138	0.8521	1.0538
7	17. kev	0.9241	0.9348	0.9140	1.0463
8	3.354 kev	0.9742	0.9539	0.9618	1.0377
9	454. ev	0.9964	0.9775	0.9866	1.0242
10	61.44 ev	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
11	22.6 ev	0.9977	1.0087	1.0094	0.9671
12	8.3 ev	0.9888	1.0146	1.0047	0.9005
13	3.06 ev	0.9767	1.0168	0.9702	0.7700
14	1.126 ev	0.9631	1.0152	0.4696	0.3568
15	0.414 ev	-	-	-	-

^{*}Relative to Group 10

†Per unit lethargy

principal difference from the calculation reported in the previous quarterly report (1) was improved treatment of heterogeneity effects in fast fission. The loading required for criticality was calculated to be 20.4 fuel elements, compared with the actual value of 19.4 elements. Calculated values of delayedneutron fraction and neutron lifetime were 0.00698 and 0.001240 sec.

2. Calculated Reactivities and Doppler Coefficients

Real and adjoint fluxes from the above SGR-CA calculation have been used with multigroup tungsten and gold cross sections to calculate Doppler coefficients of bare and cadmium-covered tungsten samples and reactivities of cadmium-covered samples of natural tungsten, W 182, W 186, and gold. Cross sections used for the two enriched samples corresponded to actual isotopic compositions of the samples; for previous calculations it had been assumed that each sample consisted of a single isotope. For the Doppler coefficient, the principal isotope contributes half or less of the total. These results, together with their experimental counterparts, are presented in Tables 2 and 3. The calculated W 186 reactivity value may be too large, since the 2200-m/sec cross section calculated by TRIX-1 from the resonance parameters is 25% larger than a recently reported value. (2) Reduction of the W 186 reactivity by this order of magnitude would result in approximate equality of the W^{182} and W^{186} calculated reactivities, in agreement with the measured reactivities of these samples. Since much of the W 186 reactivity is due to the 18.8-ev resonance and the 1/v cross section, it is possible that adjusting the radiation width of this resonance to force agreement with the new measured value of σ_{2} may reduce the calculated reactivity by as much as 10 to 20%.

A number of approximations are implicit in the calculation of flux and importance used in the perturbation-theory computation of sample reactivities. Among these approximations are: homogenization of most of the reactor, use of only one spatial dimension, and use of only 15 energy groups. Although these approximations are perfectly adequate for calculating integral properties of the reactor (such as critical mass), where cancellation of errors tends to occur, they are less adequate for determining detailed properties such as flux or importance in a particular energy group. In view of these approximations, as well as uncertainties in the basic cross sections used in the reactor calculation,

TABLE 2
SAMPLE REACTIVITIES AT ROOM TEMPERATURE
IN CADMIUM SLEEVE

C1-	Diameter	(in) Length	Reactivity (£)		
Sample	(in.)		Calculated	Measured	
w ¹⁸²	0.438	4.00	1.447 (79% 182)	1.770 ± 0.001	
w ¹⁸⁶	0.438	4.00	1.773 (91% 186)	1.744 ± 0.001	
Natural W	0.438	4.00	1.826	1.94 ± 0.01	
Gold	0.235	3.683	0.950	1.207 ± 0.001	

TABLE 3

DOPPLER COEFFICIENTS OF STANDARD-SIZE TUNGSTEN
SAMPLES AT 745°K

Doppler Coefficient (#/1000°C)				
Sample	Bare		Under Cadmium	
	Calculated	Measured*	Calculated	Measured
w ¹⁸²	0.0859 (45% 182)	-	0.0448 (40% 182)	0.070 ± 0.006
w ¹⁸⁶	0.0700 (43% 186)	0.124	0.0369 (36% 186)	0.0471 ± 0.0016
Natural W	0.151	0.157	0.0797	0.094 ± 0.005

^{*}Uncorrected for thermal expansion

the overall agreement of calculated and measured reactivities (usually within 25%) is as good as can be expected. Reactivity ratios, whether calculated or measured, are of more interest, because they depend much more directly on the sample cross sections.

Doppler coefficients are presented in Table 3 as though they were independent of temperature, for the sake of representing each one by one easily understandable number. In fact, they decrease with temperature, presumably as T^{-1/2} for a thermal reactor. This decrease is readily apparent for both measured and calculated values. Final results will be quoted in terms of least-squares power-law fits to the data.

NASA-CR-54954 AI-66-85

3. Monte Carlo Calculations

Compilation, checkout, and debugging of the Monte Carlo program has been completed. This program is basically a modified version of an existing resonance-escape code; the efficiency of the original version has been greatly increased by following only those neutron histories resulting in passage through the sample. Cross sections for natural tungsten and gold were prepared with the aid of a new version of the UNICORN code (to be published), for calculating "K pointwise cross sections, and an existing Doppler-broadening code. A test case run for a 0.235-in.-diameter gold sample was converging well after only 1000 histories. Failure, for thick samples, of the flat-source approximation used in TRIX is evident in the following comparison (Table 4).

TABLE 4
EFFECTIVE RESONANCE INTEGRAL OF THICK GOLD SAMPLE

Method Used	Effective Resonance Integral (barns) Above 0.55 ev
TRIX (corrected for 1/v self-shielding)	82
Monte Carlo (1000 histories)	69 ± 4
Experiment (Advanced Doppler Project)	64 ± 2

B. EXPERIMENT

1. Activation Measurements

Bare exposures of natural-tungsten foils at several S/M values have been completed at 293 and 473°K. In addition to measurements on a foil sandwiched between two halves of a standard sample, measurements on several thinner foils were performed, to obtain an experimental normalization of the resonance integral and its temperature change. This normalization is made necessary by the fact that a Cd 113 resonance at 18.5 ev partially overlaps the first W 186 resonance at 18.8 ev. Measurements by Baumann (3) indicate a significant effect of this overlap on the resonance integral; its effect on the Doppler coefficient can be of either sign. This possible difficulty has been avoided by making the Doppler activation measurements without cadmium. If cadmium were used, the

ratio of the change in activation between two temperatures to the activation at one temperature would be directly proportional to the Doppler coefficient $\Delta I/I \Delta T$, without any normalization. Without cadmium, the change in activation is still proportional to ΔI but the total activation is not proportional to the resonance integral, I. Thus, the experimental normalization is required.

Data from runs at these two temperatures are being reduced and analyzed. Because of funding limitations, activation measurements will not be extended to higher temperatures.

2. Reactivity Measurements

Total reactivity worths of standard-size samples of W 182 and W 186 have been measured with and without the cadmium sleeve. Bare results were $20.764 \pm 0.014 \ell$ for W 182 and $26.085 \pm 0.023 \ell$ for W 186 ; results for samples under cadmium were presented in Table 2, preceding.

The reactivity change with temperature has been measured for W ¹⁸⁶, bare and under cadmium, and for W ¹⁸² under cadmium. Large calculated values for the thermal-expansion reactivity coefficient (1) and large measured differences between bare and cadmium Doppler results have led to the conclusion that Doppler measurements on samples under cadmium are more reliable and require less correction than measurements on bare samples; therefore, no additional bare measurements will be done. This problem is much less severe in the activation measurements, for the following reasons. The foil being activated is sandwiched between two halves of the 4-in.-long tungsten slug; it is far enough from the ends of the slug that essentially none of its activation is due to neutrons entering the ends. The thermal-expansion coefficient of activation is then smaller by a factor of two than the corresponding coefficient for the reactivity measurements, because axial expansion has no effect on the activation of the foil.

Reactivity-vs-temperature measurements of W¹⁸², W¹⁸⁶, and an empty oven, all under cadmium, were repeated one or more times. From the results of repeated runs, it now appears that the reactivity change with temperature of an empty oven is essentially zero; therefore, no oven subtraction was performed for the W¹⁸² and W¹⁸⁶ data, and previous natural-tungsten data were reanalyzed. Results of these measurements appeared in Table 3, preceding, and are

also presented in Figure 1. Each curve is a composite of two or more reactor runs.

A tentative conversion of reactivity change (¢) to resonance-integral change (barns) has been included in the Figure. The scale factor for this conversion is uncertain by about 20%.

Reactivity measurements on a number of new gold samples under cadmium have been performed, and previous gold-sample measurements have been repeated (See Table 5). After conversion of thin-foil measurements to equivalent cylindrical geometry with TRIX-1, these data are expected to provide adequate coverage in S/M for epithermal calibration of the oscillator.

TABLE 5
REACTIVITY WORTHS OF GOLD SAMPLES IN CADMIUM SLEEVE

Length (in.)	Width* or Diameter (in.)	Thickness (in.)	Weight (gm)	Reactivity (£)
4.0	1.045*	0.002	2.683	0.4046 ± 0.0006
4.0	0.990*	0.031	38.088	1.6511 ± 0.0010
4.0	0.030	(cyl)	0.876	0.0555 ± 0.0007
3.683	0.235	(cyl)	49.871	1.2073 ± 0.0009
0.67	0.67	(cyl)	74.4	1.1304 ± 0.0012
1.34	0.67	(cyl)	148.8	1.9818 ± 0.0010
2.01	0.67	(cyl)	223.2	2.8143 ± 0.0014
2.68	0.67	(cyl)	297.4	3.6310 ± 0.0023


^{*}Rectangular sample

C. RELATED PROJECTS*

1. Advanced Doppler Coefficients

Analysis of the gold data is nearly complete. An auxiliary experiment has demonstrated that interactions between foils in the new exposure wheel have been eliminated. Cadmium-covered exposures of natural-tungsten foils at 293 and 473°K have been completed.

^{*}AEC-sponsored Doppler projects



Change in Reactivity (or resonance integral) vs Absolute Temperature. Resonance-integral scale is tentative; 20% uncertainty.

2. Fast-Spectrum Doppler Measurements

The first oven utilizing the design proposed for reaching 2300°K has been assembled and is being tested. The only difference between this oven and the 2300° oven is the use of high-density alumina as insulating material rather than thoria; it is expected to reach a temperature of the order of 1800 to 2200°K. Work on two alternate designs has started. One design makes use of insulated heater wire rather than an insulating sleeve; the other uses a sleeve made of thoria rather than alumina.

IV. ACTIVITIES DURING NEXT REPORT PERIOD

Further investigation of problem areas will be carried out with the new Monte Carlo code. Reactivity and Doppler coefficient will be calculated for the W 184 sample.

Reactivity and Doppler-coefficient measurements will be performed with the W¹⁸⁴ sample. Doppler measurements on W¹⁸², W¹⁸⁶, and natural tungsten will be extended to the operating limits of the present ovens, expected to be in the neighborhood of 1500°K. This will conclude Phase I of the project.

Upon successful operation of high-temperature ovens being developed on the Fast Doppler project, Doppler measurements on all tungsten samples will be extended to the neighborhood of 2000°K or higher.

Data analysis will continue and work will start on portions of the final report.

REFERENCES

- 1. S. G. Carpenter, J. M. Otter, R. K. Paschall, and H. N. Royden, "Tungsten Resonance Integrals and Doppler Coefficients, Second Quarterly Report," NASA-CR-54888 or AI-66-16 (February 11, 1966)
- 2. S. J. Friesenhahn, E. Haddad, F. H. Frohner, and W. M. Lopez, "The Neutron Capture Cross Section of the Tungsten Isotopes from 0.01 to 10 Electron Volts," GA-6882 (January 20, 1966)
- 3. N. P. Baumann, "Resonance Integrals and Self-Shielding Factors for Detector Foils," DP-817 (January 1963)

Report Distribution List for Contract NAS3-7982

NASA Lewis Research Center (3) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: R. Sullivan, Program Manager M.S. 49-2 NASA Lewis Research Center (1) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Norman T. Musial NASA Scientific and Technical (6 & repro.) Information Facility Box 5700 Bethesda, Maryland Attn: NASA Representative NASA Lewis Research Center (2) 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Library NASA Lewis Research Center (1)21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Report Control Office NASA Lewis Research Center (1)21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Report Control Office NASA Lewis Research Center (1)21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Technical Utilization Office Mail Stop 3-16

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546 Attn: Nuclear Propulsion Office	(2)	NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: John Liwosz MS 501-2	(1)
Manager Space Nuclear Propulsion Office U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. Attn: H. B. Finger	(2)	NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Paul Klann, MS 49-2	(1)
Space Nuclear Propulsion Office U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. Attn: John Morrisey	(1)	Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Daniel Fieno, MS 49-2	(1)
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Technical Reports Library Washington, D. C.	(3)	NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Donald Shook, MS 49-2	(1)
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Technical Information Service Extension P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tennessee	(3)	NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Wndel Mayo, MS 49-2	(1)
NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Nuclear Rocket Technical Mail Stop 501-2	(1)	NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Office of Reliability and Quality Assurance	(1)
NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135	(1)	NASA Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California 94035 Attn: Library	(1)
Attn: Leroy B. Humble, MS 49-2 NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road	(1)	NASA Flight Research Center P.O. Box 273 Edwards, California 93523 Attn: Library	(1)
Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Samuel Kaufman, MS 49-2 NASA Lewis Research Center	(1)	NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland 20771 Attn: Library	(1)
21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Edward Lantz, MS 49-2		Jet Propulsion Laboratory 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, California 91103 Attn: Library	(1)

NASA Langley Research Center Langley Station Hampton, Virginia 23365 Attn: Library	(1)	Argonne National Laboratory P.O. Box 299 Lemont, Illinois Attn: J. F. Marchaterre Project Manager, ANL	(1)
NASA Manned Spacecraft Center Houston, Texas 77001 Attn: Library	(1)	Nuclear Rocket Study Brookhaven National Laboratory	(1)
NASA Marshall Space Flight Cente Huntsville, Alabama 35812	r(1)	Upton, Long Island, New York Attn: Dr. J. Stehn	(-7
Attn: Library		General Atomic Division of General Dynamics	(1)
NASA Western Operations 150 Pico Blvd.	(1)	•	` '
Santa Monica, California 90406 Attn: Library		Babcock & Wilcox Company	(1)
·		Atomic Energy Division	(1)
NASA Western Operations 150 Pico Blvd.	(1)	1201 Kemper Street P.O. Box 1260	
Santa Monica, California 90406 Attn: L. Dondey		Lynchburg, Virginia	
•		Phillips Petroleum Company	(1)
General Electric Company Nuclear Materials and Propulsion Operations	(1)	Research & Development Dept. Atomic Energy Division Idaho Falls, Idaho	
P.O. Box 15132 Evendale, Ohio 45215		Battelle Memorial Institute	(1)
Attn: R. W. Briskin		505 King Ave., Columbus, Ohio	(-)
Union Carbide Corporation	(1)		
Nuclear Division P.O. Box X		General Electric Company Vallecitos Atomic Laboratory	(1)
Oak Ridge, Tennessee Attn: R. C. Block		Atomic Power Equipment Dept. San Jose, California	
United Nuclear Corporation Development Division 5 New Street	(1)	Atomics International Division North American Aviation 8900 DeSoto Ave.,	
White Plains, New York Attn: D. Spielberg		Canoga Park, California	
Westinghouse Electric Corporation Astronuclear Laboratory P.O. Box 10864 Pittsburgh 36, Pennsylvania	n (1)		
Aerojet General Nucleonics P.O. Box 77 San Ramon, California 94583 Attn: R. W. Durante	(1)		